



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1480  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/650,719	05/20/1996	JEFFREY S. MAILLOUX	303.623US1	2941

21186 7590 07/12/2007

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.  
P.O. BOX 2938  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/650,719	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAILLOUX ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hong C. Kim	<b>Art Unit</b> 2185	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**


The Appeal Brief filed on 08 March 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

  
 HONG CHONG KIM  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): 4) In section 5, although the brief identifies page and line number for each independent claim, the brief does not contain a concise explanation of subject matter, in other words, the brief does not show mapping for each claimed step or element with page and line number. Also the summary for claim 61 is inadequate since the brief (page 8) does not clearly provide support for "while in the burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation" and "providing a new external addresses for every access associated with accessing the asynchronously-accessible memory device while in the burst mode of operation". For example, reference numbers, 164 and 170, do not clearly show "while in the burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation" since the references 164 and 170 only show generating internal column addresses after obtaining an initial external column address in a burst mode. In addition, reference numbers 166 and 172 do not clearly show "providing a new external addresses for every access associated with accessing the asynchronously-accessible memory device while in the burst mode of operation" since the reference numbers 166 and 172 rather show determining whether to continue generating internal column addresses, in other word, the reference numbers 166 and 172 show "internal column addresses" not "a new external address" as claimed in claim 61.

5) In section 6, the brief does not contain a statement for the double patenting rejection (see pages 2-3 in the final rejection mailed 2/20/07).

6) In section 7, the brief does not contain an argument for the double patenting rejection.